


Appln. No.: 09/922,392
Amendment Dated: November 21, 2005
Reply to Office Action of: September 26, 2005

MAT-8174US

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 49-52, 54-56, and 73 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-62 of U.S. 6,674,362. In response, a Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,515
Attorney for Applicant


LEA/bj

Dated: November 21, 2005

P.O. Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482
(610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on:
November 21, 2005



Beth Johnson

BETHJ_I:\MAT\8174US\PAMENDRCE.DOC